

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/824,238	04/13/2004	Jigish D. Trivedi	501188.04 (30174/US/3)	6331
7590 09/14/2006		EXAM	INER	
Kimton N. En		PHAN, THIEM D		
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP Suite 3400 1420 Fifth Avenue Seattle, WA 98101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729	
			DATE MAILED: 09/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/824,238	TRIVEDI, JIGISH D.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tim Phan	3729				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE MONTHS AT THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Ju</u>	<u>une 2004</u> .					
,	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 36-62 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>36-39 and 54-62</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>40-43 and 47-50</u> is/are rejected.						
• — -	☑ Claim(s) <u>44-46 and 51-53</u> is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			•			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
	-		ed in this National Stage				
* 0	application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed				
		C. I. C. C. I. C.					
Attachmen	at(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🔯 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal I	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date 4/13/04, 10,4,04.8/5/65, 1/30/06 8	6/30/06 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/824,238 Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 36-39 and 54-57, drawn to a method for forming an antifuse, classified in class 29, subclass 825;
 - II. Claims 40-53, drawn to an alternative method for forming an antifuse, classified in class 29, subclass 846;
 - III. Claims 58-62, drawn to another method for forming an antifuse, classified in class29, subclass 849.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the method for forming an antifuse as recited in Group I does not require a first electrode thereof, as required by Group II. The subcombination, Invention II, has separate utility

Application/Control Number: 10/824,238 Page 3

Art Unit: 3729

such as forming a second electrode.

Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the method for forming an antifuse as recited in Group I does not require parallel conductive members thereof, as required by Group III. The subcombination, Invention III, has separate utility such as forming parallel conductive members in the trenches.

Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination III has separate utility such as forming parallel conductive members in the trenches. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Groups II or III, and vice versa. Therefore the restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. A telephone call was made to Kimton N. Eng (206-903-8800) on 8/14/06 to discuss the above restriction requirement, and did result in an election being made for Group II (Claims 40-53) without traverse while Group I (claims 36-39 and 54-57) and Group III (claims 58-62) were withdrawn. Applicant is required to cancel these nonelected claims (36-39 and 54-62) or take other appropriate action.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) later on.

An Office Action on the merits of Claims 40-53 now follows.

Title

5. The following title is suggested: "A Method for Forming an Antifuse".

Application/Control Number: 10/824,238 Page 5

Art Unit: 3729

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 40-43 and 47-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vyvoda et al (US 6,486,065).

With regard to claim 40, Vyvoda et al teach a process of forming nonvolatile memory device, comprising:

- forming a first electrode (Fig. 1, 14) having a first plurality of longitudinal members;
- forming a second electrode (Fig. 1, 23) having a second plurality of longitudinal members, the second plurality of longitudinal members of the second electrode arranged substantially orthogonally with respect to the first plurality of longitudinal members of the first electrode, the second electrode overlying the first electrode and having portions extending between the first plurality of longitudinal members; and
- forming a dielectric (Fig. 1, 20) interposed between at least portions of the first and second electrodes.

With regard to claim 41, Vyvoda et al teach that the forming of the first electrode having a plurality of longitudinal members comprises forming a plurality of longitudinal

members having at least one edge (Fig. 1, Cross between 14 & 23) on which the dielectric (Fig. 1, 20) and the longitudinal members of the second electrode (Fig. 1, 23) are formed.

With regard to claim 42, Vyvoda et al teach that each of the longitudinal members of the first electrode have a rectangular profile (Fig. 1, 14).

With regard to claim 43, Vyvoda et al teach that the forming of the first electrode having a plurality of longitudinal members comprises forming a plurality of vertically oriented rectangular plates (Fig. 1, 14).

With regard to claim 47, Vyvoda et al teach a process of forming nonvolatile memory device, comprising:

- forming a first electrode (Fig. 1, 14) having a first plurality of parallel conductive members;
- forming a second electrode (Fig. 1, 23) having a second plurality of parallel conductive members over the first electrode and
- intersecting (Fig. 1, 14 & 23) the first plurality of conductive members, the conductive members of the second plurality; and
- forming a dielectric (Fig. 1, 20) interposed between at least portions of the first and second electrodes.

With regard to claim 48, Vyvoda et al teach that the forming of the first electrode having a plurality of parallel conductive members comprises forming a plurality of conductive members having at least one edge (Fig. 1, Cross between 14 & 23) on which the dielectric (Fig. 1, 20) and the conductive members of the second electrode (Fig. 1, 23) are formed.

With regard to claim 49, Vyvoda et al teach that each of the conductive members of the first electrode have a rectangular profile (Fig. 1, 14).

With regard to claim 50, Vyvoda et al teach that the forming of the first electrode having a plurality of parallel conductive members comprises forming a plurality of vertically oriented rectangular plates (Fig. 1, 14).

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 44-46 and 51-53 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3729

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tim Phan whose telephone number is 571-272-4568. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F, 9AM - 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tim Phan Examiner Art Unit 3729

A. DEXTERTUGBANG PRIMARY EXAMINER

tp

August 26, 2006